	A 100%-90%	B 89%-80%	C 79%-70%	D 69%-60%	F <59%
Point Totals	13.5-15	12-13.5	10.5-12	9-10.5	<9
Assignment Deliverables 30%	The individual project provided a full and accurate understanding of the different perspectives of liability police officers have from correctional leaders; full understanding of why leadership styles might be adjusted In different prison environments.	The individual project provided a reasonable understanding of the different perspectives of liability police officers have from correctional leaders; reasonable understanding of why leadership styles might be adjusted In different prison environments.	The individual project provided limited understanding of the different perspectives of liability police officers have from correctional leaders; limited understanding of why leadership styles might be adjusted In different prison environments.	The individual project missing significant components in understanding of the different perspectives of liability police officers have from correctional leaders; little understanding of why leadership styles might be adjusted In different prison environments.	The individual project did not provide any understanding of the different perspectives of liability police officers have from correctional leaders; no understanding of why leadership styles might be adjusted In different prison environments.
Scholarly Research Inclusion of plagiarized content will not be tolerated and may result in academic consequences. 20%	9-10 The writer supports his/her position with scholarly research; properly acknowledges and cites research; direct quotations do not exceed 10% of the word count of the body of the assignment deliverable.	8 The writer supports her/his position with scholarly research, but may not properly acknowledge or cite research; cited direct quotations exceed 10% of the body of the assignment	7 There is limited evidence of the writer supporting his/her position with scholarly research; writer does not properly acknowledge or cite research; cited direct quotations may have exceeded 10% of the body of the assignment.	6 There is insufficient scholarly research to support the writer's position – the writer may not have utilized sufficient research in support of the writer's position, and the writer did not properly acknowledge and/or cite the research used; the writer failed to use direct quotations or the direct quotations exceeded	<6 There is no evidence of scholarly research in support of the writer's position. The writer did not acknowledge or cite any scholarly research. Either the writer did not use direct quotations, or the direct quotations significantly exceeded 10% of the body of the assignment.

				10% of the body of the assignment.	
	7-7.5	6	5	4	<4
Critical Thinking 15%	Position is thoroughly justified; logical flow in reasoning; argument fully supported with evidence or examples as appropriate.	Position is well justified; generally, logical flow in reasoning but may include minor gaps; argument provides some support with evidence or examples as appropriate.	Position includes some justification but is missing key arguments; flow in argument is evident but incomplete; argument is supported with evidence or examples but support is weak.	Position is not well justified; lacks logical flow in reasoning;, argument has minimal support, evidence, or examples.	Position is not justified; reasoning is inconsistent or illogical; argument has no support, evidence, or examples.
	9-10	8	7	6	<6
Structure 20%	Includes introduction and conclusion, proper paragraph format, and reads as an exceptional, clear, and concise academic paper or professional presentation, as appropriate for the required assignment deliverable.	Includes introduction and conclusion, proper paragraph format, and reads as a polished, clear, and concise academic paper or professional presentation, as appropriate for the required assignment deliverable.	Includes incomplete introduction and/or conclusion, paragraph format is incomplete, and reads as an academic paper or professional presentation lacking some clarity.	Does not include introduction and/or conclusion; paragraph format is not proper; document does not read as clear or concise.	Does not include introduction and/or conclusion; paragraph format is not proper; and reads as an unclear and/or unfocused paper or presentation.
	5	4	3	2	<2
Mechanical 10%	Writing contains no grammar, punctuation, spelling, or	Writing contains minor grammar, punctuation, spelling, or typographical errors.	Writing contains grammar, punctuation, spelling, and typographical errors;	Writing contains significant grammar, punctuation, spelling, and/or typographical errors; errors impede	Writing contains pervasive, major grammar, punctuation, spelling, and typographical errors that prevent

	typographical		errors do not impede	understanding of the	meaningful understanding
	errors.		overall understanding.	content.	of the content.
	2.5	2	1.5	1	0
APA	Deliverable is cited	Deliverable is cited	Deliverable is	Deliverable is improperly	No citations provided.
5%	properly according	properly according to	improperly cited	cited according to the	
	to the APA	the APA Publication	according to the APA	APA Publication Manual	
	Publication	Manual with minor	Publication Manual	with significant errors.	
	Manual.	errors.	with minor errors.		